



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,100	06/24/2003	Henri Waelbroeck	61165-0011	1663
9629 7590 04/02/2008 MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004				
EXAMINER EBERSMAN, BRUCE I				
ART UNIT 3691		PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DATE 04/02/2008		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/603,100

**Applicant(s)**

WAELEBROECK ET AL.

**Examiner**

BRUCE I. EBERSMAN

**Art Unit**

3691

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BRUCE I. EBERSMAN.(3) Fred Frederspiel ( Inventor).(2) Steven Underwood PHD (applicant attorney)).(4) Pristine S. Johannessen (attorney)

Date of Interview: 27 March 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: screen shots of invention, pipeline software.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-12.

Identification of prior art discussed: Lupien (see IDS) and Shaw 10/032535.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed invention and differences between the invention and prior art. Applicant indicated that an amendment will be filed to further clarify terms and distinguish over prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Alexander Kalinowski/ SPE  
Art Unit 3691

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required